Open Repair of Retracted Latissimus Dorsi Tendon Avulsion
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Abstract

Latissimus dorsi avulsion injuries are rarely reported in the literature and are managed with a variety of strategies. Primary anatomical repair of tendon to bone may offer athletes the best chance for successful return to sports.

In this article, we describe a surgical technique for safely repairing an acute or chronic, retracted, avulsed latissimus tendon back to its insertion on the medial aspect of the bicipital groove of the proximal humerus. Using 1 low anterior axillary incision and 1 posterior axillary incision for tendon retrieval when retraction is more than 5 cm, this technique allows for direct anatomical repair of a retracted tendon to bone using 3 points of bony fixation supplemented by soft-tissue repair. The technique also minimizes the risks for neurovascular compromise and cosmetic deformity, while decreasing the risk for postinjury strength deficits.

Operative Technique

Patient Positioning

The patient is positioned supine in the semimodified beach-chair position. Care is taken to pad all bony prominences. The patient is brought to the edge of the table on the ipsilateral side of the injury to allow for full shoulder range of motion (ROM) during surgery. Care is taken to include the anterior and posterior aspects of the shoulder within the surgical field to allow for access in the event that a second, posterior axillary incision is required for tendon retrieval. The arm is then
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brought into 90° abduction and full external rotation and held by an assistant in preparation for the approach.

**Approach**

A 5-cm anterior low axillary incision is made obliquely along Langer’s lines in the subpectoral area about 1 cm medial to the bicipital groove and parallel with the axillary fold to avoid scarring and minimize cosmetic deformity (Figure 1, line A). Skin and subcutaneous tissue are incised sharply down to the interval between the pectoralis major and the short head of the biceps. The arm is adducted and externally rotated to allow superior retraction of the pectoralis major using 2 baby Hohmann retractors, maintaining its normal tendinous insertion. The short head of the biceps is then bluntly elevated off the humerus to allow for visualization of the normal latissimus insertion site along the medial border of the bicipital groove, taking care to avoid damage to the radial nerve. The radial nerve need not be identified if it is not encountered during the approach. In clean tendinous avulsion injuries, the insertion site is often bare, with no tendinous tissue remaining (Figure 2).

**Tendon Retrieval**

The retracted edge of the latissimus tendon is found by identifying the teres major tendon deep to the latissimus insertion site on the medial aspect of the humerus. The surgeon slips a finger over the teres major tendon and traces it proximally and posteriorly in an attempt to retrieve the latissimus tendon from within the axilla. The latissimus tendon should be meticulously exposed from the axilla, as the brachial plexus can be inadvertently injured while pulling on the retracted tendon. The posterior circumflex humeral artery and the axillary nerve should not be encountered, as they course proximally and posteriorly through the quadrangular space between teres major and minor. If the radial nerve is encountered, care must now be taken to identify and protect it. This nerve, encased in fatty tissue, courses 2- to 3-cm medial to the humerus along the length of the normal latissimus tendon insertion site (Figure 3). An avulsed tendon may allow for visualization of the...
radial nerve anterior to the teres major. In cases in which the latissimus tendon has retracted only minimally or within 4- to 5-cm of its insertion, and in which the tendon edge is both palpated and visualized, the tendon may be clamped under direct visualization and brought up to the humerus, taking care to avoid incidental clamping of the radial nerve.9 Bringing the arm into adduction and internal rotation helps decrease the distance between the humerus and the retracted latissimus tendon.

In cases of acute, subacute, or chronic tears with significant retraction (>5 cm), direct visualization of the retracted latissimus tendon is often difficult despite there being a palpable tendon edge. In this scenario, there is concern that blindly clamping the tendon will place at risk the surrounding neurovascular structures, most notably the radial nerve as it passes anterior to the teres major tendon medial to the humerus and courses into the triangular interval. Therefore, it is necessary to make a second, posterior axillary incision for tendon retrieval. The arm is brought into an abducted overhead position by an assistant, and the edge of the latissimus tendon is palpated over the skin in the posterior axillary fold. A small, 2- to 3-cm incision is made directly overlying the palpable edge of the latissimus tendon and sharply dissected through the underlying subcutaneous tissue (Figure 1, line B). This allows for immediate identification of the latissimus tendon. In cases of acute avulsions, the tendon edge is often in excellent overall condition and has a clean, smooth edge with no fraying (Figure 4). In more chronic cases, the tendon may need to be carefully dissected from scar tissue to identify the tendon edge.

The tendon is then secured with 2 strong, nonabsorbable sutures in a running Krackow fashion, that are to be used as a passing suture (Figure 5). A finger is used to create a subcutaneous tract between the anterior and posterior axillary incisions (Figure 6). A clamp is passed from the anterior incision posteriorly, and the sutures are retrieved, bringing the latissimus tendon into view through the anterior wound.

Tendon-to-Bone Repair

After the tendon is brought into the anterior axillary wound, the arm is positioned at approximately 10° of abduction and 30° of external rotation, allowing for visualization of the repair site. This position also allows for maintaining minimal tension of the latissimus after reapproximation to its footprint. Before repair, a burr is used to decorticate the bony insertion site to create a bleeding bed for healing. In the present case, we elected to repair the tendon to bone using 3 points of bony fixation with supplemental soft-tissue fixation.
Three suture anchors are placed from superior to inferior on the humeral insertion site. Two double-loaded suture anchors are placed, 1 at the superior border of the repair site and 1 at the inferior border. Centrally, a metallic corkscrew anchor is placed. The superior and inferior sutures from the anchors are placed in a running Krackow fashion along the superior and inferior border of the tendon, respectively, and the secondary sutures from each anchor are placed in a simple fashion. The central suture from the corkscrew anchor is placed in a Mason-Allen-type configuration through the central aspect of the latissimus tendon. The superior and inferior sutures are tied to their respective tendinous ends using a tension slide technique, reducing the tendon to bone and maintaining the appropriate insertional anatomy (Figure 7). The middle corkscrew suture is then tied, followed by the teres major horizontal mattress nonabsorbable sutures, to provide excellent apposition of tendon to bone and normal re-creation of the insertion site of the latissimus tendon.

Closure

The arm is taken through gentle ROM to confirm that the repair is adequate. If the repair is found to be anatomical with appropriate fixation, the wounds are copiously irrigated and closed. For deep closure of the anterior wound, interrupted sutures are placed in the interval between the biceps and the pectoralis major. The subcutaneous layer and skin are closed in the usual fashion. The posterior wound is closed in a similar fashion. The arm is placed in a sling with an abduction pillow.

After surgery, the patient maintains the brace for 4 to 6 weeks. Active and active-assist elbow/hand/wrist ROM exercises begin immediately after surgery. Passive shoulder ROM exercises begin 2 weeks after surgery, while active ROM exercises begin 5 to 6 weeks after surgery, depending on pain level and progression with physical therapy.

Discussion

There are few reports of avulsion injuries of the latissimus dorsi tendon. In athletes, these injuries typically present acutely with sudden, severe onset of pain in the upper arm and posterior axilla, often secondary to a hyperabduction injury of the shoulder. In chronic cases, patients may report several months or years of shoulder and/or upper limb discomfort and may present with a visible mass in the axilla.10

The management of latissimus avulsion injuries is controversial. Some studies have found successful short-term outcomes with nonoperative management in recreational or non-throwing athletes,10-11 and other studies have found successful outcomes with operative repair in competitive water skiers,1,2 professional wrestlers,13 steer wrestlers,14 and rock climbers.4 Schickendantz and colleagues5 were the first to report 5 cases of nonoperatively treated acute latissimus avulsion injuries in professional baseball pitchers. These athletes returned to pitching within 1 year, and there was 1 re-rupture of the latissimus tendon after 6 months. Recently, Nagda and colleagues6 retrospectively reviewed 16 cases of professional baseball pitchers with latissimus or teres major injuries diagnosed and nonoperatively treated over a 6-year period. Fifteen of these 16 pitchers (94%) successfully returned to play, with a mean time to throwing of 35.6 days, suggesting that nonoperative treatment of latissimus and teres major injuries is successful in allowing professional pitchers to return to high-level activity. However, only 2 of the 16 cases (13%) involved isolated latissimus avulsion injuries—the other 14 were isolated teres major injuries, combination injuries, or muscle strains—and
1 of the 2 athletes sustained another injury, a teres major avulsion, 13 months after the primary latissimus rupture. Further, return-to-throwing outcomes were worse for these 2 patients than for those with isolated teres major tears or muscle strains. Therefore, for pitchers with isolated, acute latissimus avulsions, outcomes may be less satisfactory with nonoperative treatment than with operative management.

One potential reason for less than optimal outcomes after nonoperative management of latissimus avulsions may be a significant strength deficit after injury, most notably with shoulder extension and adduction. The literature is replete with studies documenting loss of latissimus muscle strength after takedown for use as a free or pedicle flap in reconstructive surgery. Muscle strength deficits of up to 20% compared with the contralateral side, along with significant isokinetic weakness of shoulder extension, adduction, and peak torque values, have been reported after latissimus takedown. While functional deficits after latissimus avulsions may go unnoticed in noncompetitive or recreational athletes, these deficits may be more pronounced in competitive athletes with high functional shoulder demands.

Another disadvantage of nonoperative repair of latissimus avulsion injuries is the potential for precluding anatomical restoration of the tendon at a later date, if, for example, the patient has become dissatisfied with nonoperative treatment. Cox and colleagues reported good outcomes with surgical repair of 2 subacutec (>6 weeks) latissimus dorsi tendon ruptures through a 1-incision posterior axillary approach, but they suggested that surgical intervention beyond 2 months after injury could preclude anatomical restoration of the tendon, most likely because of tendon retraction and subsequent scar tissue buildup. As a result, some authors have advocated for early anatomical repair, whereas others may choose to manage latissimus ruptures in a conservative manner initially and, if that fails, proceed to delayed repair or reconstruction. To date, however, the standard of care for this injury remains controversial.

Previous case reports have described a variety of approaches and techniques for surgical repair of latissimus tendon avulsions. Henry and Scerpella reported the case of a water skier with an acutely avulsed latissimus dorsi muscle surgically repaired with use of 1 axillary incision and then drilling of transosseous humeral tunnels to secure tendon to bone. Lively and colleagues reported the case of a rock climber with a chronic latissimus tear (>2 years after injury) managed with use of 2 incisions (anterior deltopectoral, posterior axillary) facilitating repair with transosseous tunnels. Hapa and colleagues described using 2 anterior axillary incisions (with patient in semi-beach-chair position) for fixation of tendon to bone using suture anchors. Hiemstra and colleagues and Lim and colleagues used 1 posterior axillary incision (patients in lateral decubitus position) and repaired the tendon with suture anchors. Although suture anchors have demonstrated superior failure strength to bone tunnel fixation in a cadaveric model for repair of distal biceps tendons, and are routinely used in the clinical repair of pectoralis major, distal biceps, and proximal hamstring muscle avulsions, no study to date has directly compared suture anchors with bone tunnel fixation for latissimus tendon repair.

In acute, subacute, and chronic avulsions in high-level athletes with retraction of less than 4 cm, we attempt to primarily repair tendon to bone using 1 low anterior deltopectoral approach. In cases with significant tendon retraction (>4–5 cm), however, a second posterior axillary incision is often needed to mobilize and retrieve the tendon. In the present article, we have provided a detailed technique guide that can be an aid in direct primary repair of retracted latissimus avulsion injuries. Use of 1 low anterior axillary incision—and, if needed, 1 small posterior axillary incision for tendon retrieval—is a cosmetically acceptable approach with minimal risk for axillary irritation from any scar/keloid formation that might develop.

From a technical standpoint, direct anatomical repair of the latissimus tendon to bone requires a thorough understanding of the anatomy (Figure 3). According to cadaveric studies, the radial nerve lies about 2 to 3 cm medial to the humerus along the length of the latissimus tendon. Internal rotation and adduction of the humerus bring an avulsed latissimus dorsi tendon closer to the humerus, but the radial nerve may become visible in the field as well, placing it at highest risk for injury during the approach. If the radial nerve is visualized, it should be protected and retracted. However, if the nerve is not directly visualized, the surgeon need not explore or search for it, in our opinion. In contrast, the axillary nerve is proximal to the latissimus tendon as it courses through the quadrangular space a mean of 1.4 cm proximal to the superior edge of the teres major, which is superior to the latissimus dorsi tendon at this level and should not enter the operative field during surgery. The thoracodorsal neurovascular bundle inserts on the anterior muscle belly of the latissimus dorsi at a mean of 13.1 cm medial to its humeral insertion and, similar to the axillary nerve, should not be in danger during our approach.

This technique is a safe and reproducible method for retrieving an avulsed, retracted latissimus tendon. Use of 3 suture anchors allows for anatomical reconstruction of tendon to bone with excellent cortical fixation and normal re-creation of the insertion site of the latissimus tendon. For competitive athletes who have high functional shoulder demands and sustain acute, subacute, or chronic retracted latissimus avulsion tears that cannot be retrieved through 1 incision, this technique allows for direct anatomical repair of the avulsed tendon to bone, facilitates direct bone-to-tendon healing, minimizes the risk for neurovascular compromise and cosmetic deformity, and decreases the risk for the postinjury strength deficits that may occur in nonoperatively treated patients.

**Conclusion**

Given the vital function of the latissimus dorsi muscle in competitive throwers, anatomical reconstruction theoretically offers the highest chance of return to preinjury functional levels
while minimizing risk for strength deficits. In this article, we have described a surgical technique for safely repairing an acute or chronic, retracted (>4-5 cm), avulsed latissimus tendon back to its insertion on the medial aspect of the bicipital groove of the proximal humerus. With use of 1 low axillary incision and 1 posterior axillary incision for tendon mobilization and retrieval, the latissimus tendon can be mobilized, retrieved, and repaired to bone using 3 points of bony fixation supplemented by soft-tissue repair, while minimizing the risk for neurovascular compromise and cosmetic deformity and decreasing the risk for the postinjury strength deficits that may occur in nonoperatively treated patients.
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